

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	CASE NO. CR05-281-JLR
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S.
)	MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO
ROBERT SHANE LUCAS,)	ALLEGED VIOLATIONS
)	OF SUPERVISED RELEASE
Defendant.)	
_____)	

An initial hearing on supervised release revocation in this case was scheduled before me on July 31, 2006. The United States was represented by AUSA Susan M. Roe and the defendant by Timothy Lohraff appearing for Stewart P. Riley . The proceedings were digitally recorded.

Defendant had been sentenced on or about January 9, 2006 by the Honorable James L. Robart on a charge of Possession of a controlled substance (cocaine), and sentenced to time served (about 170 days), 1 year supervised release. (Dkt. 420).

The conditions of supervised release included the standard conditions plus the requirements that defendant participate in drug testing, abstain from the use of alcohol, participate in a drug treatment program, submit to search, reside in a halfway house for up to 90 days, provide access

01 to financial information, not be self-employed or employed by friends or relatives, obtain approval
02 for all employment, not work for cash, provide copies of pay stubs to his probation officer, and
03 not possess identification documents in any but his true name.

04 On January 19, 2006, defendant's probation officer reported that defendant tested positive
05 for cocaine. He was reprimanded, placed in a structured testing program, and referred for
06 professional assessment and counseling. (Dkt. 426).

07 In an application dated February 10, 2006 (Dkt 439), U.S. Probation Officer Steven M.
08 McNickle alleged the following violation of the conditions of probation:

09 1. Failing to report to the community corrections center on February 7, 2006 as
10 instructed, in violation of special condition 4.

11 In an application dated May 22, 2006 (Dkt 462), U.S. Probation Officer Brian K. Facklam
12 alleged the following violations of the conditions of probation:

13 2. Committing the new law violations of residential burglary, attempted car theft, and
14 possession of stolen property, all during the month of May 2006, in violation of the general
15 condition that he not commit another federal, state or local crime.

16 3. Failing to notify the probation officer within seventy-two hours of being arrested
17 by law enforcement on May 9, 2006.

18 4. Using methamphetamine on or about May 9, 2006, in violation of standard
19 condition 7.

20 Defendant was advised in full as to those charges and as to his constitutional rights.

21 Defendant admitted the alleged violations and waived any evidentiary hearing as to
22 whether they occurred.

01 I therefore recommend the Court find defendant violated his supervised release as alleged,
02 and that the Court conduct a hearing limited to the issue of disposition. The next hearing will be
03 set before Judge Robart.

04 Pending a final determination by the Court, defendant has been detained.

05 DATED this 31st day of July, 2006.

06 

07 Mary Alice Theiler
08 United States Magistrate Judge

09
10 cc: District Judge: Honorable James L. Robart
11 AUSA: Susan M. Roe
12 Defendant's attorney: Stewart P. Riley, Timothy Lohraff
13 Probation officer: Steven M. McNickle, Brian K. Facklam
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22